



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,981	12/08/2000	Tatsu Inoue	Q62169	1757

7590

06/06/2005

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS  
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER
----------

LAMBRECHT, CHRISTOPHER M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<p align="center"><b>Office Action Summary</b></p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p align="center">09/731,981</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p align="center">INOUE, TATSU</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p align="center">Christopher M. Lambrecht</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p align="center">2611</p>	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 14-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 14-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/1/2002</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments filed 24 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, Applicant submits:

(a) claims 3 and 13 are allowable at least by virtue of their dependency upon independent claims 1 and 11 (Applicant's remarks, p. 15);

(b) regarding the rejections of claims 1 and 11, the cited portions of Klosterman fail to teach or suggest the claimed "time band set in advance" (Applicant's remarks, p. 14);

(c) regarding the rejections of claims 5 and 15, the cited portions of Knowles fail to teach the claimed "display time band" (Applicant's remarks, p. 17);

(d) in view of the alleged deficiencies of the rejections of claims 1, 5, 11, and 15, these claims and their respective dependent claims are allowable over the cited prior art (Applicant's remarks, p. 19);

In response to (a), Examiner submits that according to the claim listing filed 24 November 2004, Applicant has cancelled these claims. Accordingly, Applicant's submission regarding the allowability of claims 3 and 13 is considered moot.

In response to (b), Examiner submits that the cited portions of Klosterman do teach and/or suggest the claimed "time band set in advance". As conceded by Applicant on page 14 of the response, the cited portions of Klosterman disclose that the time shown for the schedule information is the "current time". Examiner submits that this range of schedule information in fact constitutes a "time band", and that the fact that the schedule information shown is set to include the current time (plus some time range, e.g., one hour as shown in fig. 4(a) of Klosterman) requires that this particular time band (e.g., current time + 1 hour) be set in advance, e.g., by software programming written for the EPG device. In fact,

Art Unit: 2611

Examiner submits it would be impossible for the device to display *any* time band of schedule information, were said time band of schedule information to be displayed not *determined* (i.e., set) *prior to displaying* (i.e., in advance) said schedule information. Therefore, Examiner submits the cited portions of Klosterman teach the claimed “time band set in advance”.

In response to (c), Examiner submits that the cited portions of Knowles do teach and/or suggest the claimed “display time band”. As cited in the rejections of claims 5 and 15, Knowles discloses the theme guide may display several days of available programming, and said programming may be sorted by time (col. 20, ll. 1-8). In addition, referring to figure 12, one can clearly recognize various programming sorted by time. Examiner submits that the range of times (9:30 PM - 10:30 PM) illustrated in figure 12 represent a “display time band”. More particularly, the “time band” is the band of time spanning from 9:30PM to 10:30PM. A group of programs that may be available during this time band are displayed on the screen. Therefore, the disclosure of Knowles clearly teaches a “display time band” and as such the rejections of claims 5 and 15 are maintained.

In response to (d), in view of the above remarks, Examiner submits all issues raised by Applicant regarding the rejections of independent claims 1, 5, 11, and 15 have been alleviated. Applicant makes no further arguments of patentability for dependent claims 4, 6-10, 14, and 16-20. Therefore, the rejections of dependent claims 4, 6-10, 14, and 16-20 are maintained.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2611

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 11, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klosterman (of record).

With regard to claims 1, 11, and 25, Klosterman discloses an apparatus and corresponding method for displaying a program table (program information, col. 4, ll. 63-64), in which a plurality of program information are displayed in a 2-dimension of a time axis and a channel axis (col. 5, ll. 2-25), said apparatus comprising:

a program information obtaining device (set-top box 138, fig. 1, col. 4, ll. 48-56 and 63-64) for obtaining the program information including information indicative of a program name (e.g., "The Waltons", see fig. 4(a)), a start time (e.g., 8:00, fig. 4(a)), a length of a program or an end time (e.g., 8:30, fig. 4(a)), a broadcasting channel (e.g., "FAM", fig. 4(a)) and a broadcasting date (e.g., "OCT 30", fig. 4(a)) of respective one of a plurality of programs;

a date setting device for setting a date of the program table to be displayed (cursor in region 410, fig. 4(a), col. 8, ll. 1-6); and

a displaying device (software applications, col. 5, ll. 2-6) for extracting the program information corresponding to the date set by said date setting device (410, fig. 4(a)) from among the program information obtained by said program information obtaining device (138, fig. 1), displaying the extracted program information as the program table corresponding to the date set by said date setting device (col. 8, ll. 1-5) and, if the date of the program table is changed by said date setting device, displaying the extracted program information as the program table corresponding to the changed date (i.e., if the cursor in region 410 is set to Wednesday, the schedule information displayed is for Wednesday, col. 8, ll. 2-5) with a display time band set in advance (time band displayed is automatically set to the current time, col. 8, ll. 6-9),

Art Unit: 2611

wherein said displaying device extracts the program information within a time range including the program which is most recently received (wherein the system automatically sets the display time range to the current time (which is inherently incident with, i.e., includes, the program which is most recently received) when moving the cursor across different days of the week, which includes the present day, col. 8, ll. 2-9) and within a display channel range including the channel of the program which is most recently received (where each program displayed in the channel range shown in fig. 4(a) is most recently received (i.e., being received as of 8:05pm)) if the date set by said setting device is the present day (col. 8, ll. 2-9),

said displaying device extracts the program information within a predetermined time range set in advance, (time band displayed is automatically set to the current time, col. 8, ll. 6-9, when moving across different days of the week, col. 8, ll. 2-9) and within a display channel range including the channel of the program which is most recently received (where each program displayed in the channel range shown in fig. 4(a) is most recently received (i.e., being received as of 8:05pm)), if the date set by said setting device is not the present day (col. 8, ll. 2-9).

Regarding claims 21 and 23, Klosterman discloses the program guide displaying apparatus and method according to claims 1 and 11. In addition, Klosterman discloses a time range setting device which sets said time range in accordance with a user's instructions (cursor in region 410, fig. 4(a), col. 8, ll. 1-6, where moving between different days of the week constitutes setting a time range, e.g., a user may transition from a time range corresponding to Wednesday, 4-5PM to Thursday, 4-5PM).

Regarding claims 22 and 24, Klosterman discloses the program guide displaying apparatus and method according to claims 1 and 11, wherein said displaying device starts displaying the extracted program information as the program table in accordance with a user's instruction (col. 8, ll. 1-9), and

said date setting device sets an initial date of the program table at a present day if said displaying device displays the extracted program information in accordance with the user's instruction (where the user selects the present day), and sets the date of the program table at a designated date if said setting device changes the date of the program table in accordance with the user's instruction to designate the date of the program table (where the user selects a different day of the week, col. 8, ll. 1-9).

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman in view of Florin (of record).

As for claims 4 and 14, Klosterman discloses a program guide displaying apparatus and method according to claims 1 and 11, but fails to disclose if the date of the program table is changed by said date setting device, said displaying device displays the program table with a display channel range displayed before the date of the program table is changed.

In an analogous art, Florin additionally discloses that if the date of the program table (180, figs. 16 and 17) is changed by said date setting device, said displaying device displays the program table (180) with a display channel range displayed before the date of the program table is changed (i.e., the date has been changed from Thursday 10/15 as shown in fig. 16 to Saturday 10/17 in fig. 17, col. 16, ll. 37-44, and the channel range displayed in fig. 17 is the same as the channel range displayed in fig. 16), for the purpose of enabling the viewer to observe scheduling content on a particular channel without having to adjust the channel range each time the date of the displayed program table is changed.

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify further modify the system of Klosterman to include if the date of the program table is changed by said date setting device, said displaying device displays the program table with a display channel range displayed before the date of the program table is changed, as additionally taught by Florin, for the purpose of enabling the viewer to observe scheduling content on a particular channel without having to adjust the channel range each time the date of the displayed program table is changed in a program guide displaying system.

6. Claims 5, 6, 8-10, 15, 16, 18-20, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman in view of Knowles (Knowles et al., US006505348B1).

With regard to claims 5, 15, and 26, Klosterman discloses an apparatus and corresponding method for displaying a program table (program information, col. 4, ll. 63-64), in which a plurality of program information are displayed in a 2-dimension of a time axis and a channel axis (col. 5, ll. 2-25), said apparatus comprising: a program information obtaining device (set-top box 138, fig. 1, col. 4, ll. 48-56 and 63-64) for obtaining the program information including information indicative of a program name (e.g., "The Waltons", see fig. 4(a)), a start time (e.g., 8:00, fig. 4(a)), a length of a program or an end time (e.g., 8:30, fig. 4(a)), a broadcasting channel (e.g., "FAM", fig. 4(a)) and a broadcasting date (e.g., "OCT 30", fig. 4(a)) of respective one of a plurality of programs; a displaying device (software applications, col. 5, ll. 2-6) for displaying the obtained program information as the program table including a plurality of program cells (see program cells, fig. 4(a)) as for a predetermined display time range (i.e., 8:00PM – 9:00PM, fig. 4(a)) and a predetermined display channel range (i.e., NBC, KGO, SHOW, HBO, DISN, ESPN, FAM, KRON, KPIX, fig. 4(a)); and a program cell selecting device (cursor with cursor control enabled by the user) for selecting of the program cells within the displayed program table (col. 7, ln. 45-50 & 8, ll. 6-9). Klosterman fails to disclose if the selected program cell is changed in a direction along



Art Unit: 2611

the time axis by said program cell selecting device and if the changed and selected program cell exceeds the display time range of the program table displayed before changing the selected program cell, said displaying device displays the program table in which the start time of the changed and selected program cell is positioned within a leading display time band.

In an analogous art, Knowles discloses if the selected program cell is changed in a direction along the time axis (in an embodiment where the programs in a theme subcategories are sorted by time, col. 20, ll. 1-8) by said program cell selecting device and if the changed and selected program cell exceeds the display time range of the program table displayed before changing the selected program cell, said displaying device displays the program table in which the start time of the changed and selected program cell is positioned within a leading display time band (col. 20, ll. 60-67), for the purpose of permitting the user to navigate through a plurality of pages containing program entries sorted by time.

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Klosterman to include if the selected program cell is changed in a direction along the time axis by said program cell selecting device and if the changed and selected program cell exceeds the display time range of the program table displayed before changing the selected program cell, said displaying device displays the program table in which the start time of the changed and selected program cell is positioned within a leading display time band, as taught by Knowles, for the purpose of permitting the user to navigate through a plurality of pages containing program entries sorted by time in a program guide displaying system.

As for claims 6 and 16, Klosterman and Knowles together disclose a program guide displaying apparatus and corresponding method according to claims 5 and 15, further comprising a date setting device for setting a date of the program table to be displayed (Klosterman, fig. 4(a), 410, col. 8, ll. 2-6), wherein said displaying device extracts the program information corresponding to the date set by said

Art Unit: 2611

date setting device from among the program information obtained by said program information obtaining device and displays the extracted program information as the program table (i.e., where the day of week selector is set to Wednesday, schedule information for Wednesday is displayed, Klosterman, col. 8, ll. 4-9).

As for claims 8 and 18, Klosterman and Knowles together disclose a program guide displaying apparatus according to claim 5, wherein said displaying said displaying device displays a cursor on the selected program cell (Klosterman, col. 8, ll. 1-9).

As for claims 9 and 19, Klosterman and Knowles together disclose a program guide displaying apparatus according to claim 5, wherein, if the program cell is changed by said program cell selecting device, said displaying device displays the program table with the display channel range same as before the cell is changed (Knowles, col. 20, ll. 60-67, i.e., navigating the program cell selecting device up or down in the display causes the program cell to move up or down one program, and the display channel range remains the same where the user has not navigated the cursor beyond the top or bottom of the program table).

As for claims 10 and 20, Klosterman and Knowles together disclose a program guide displaying apparatus according to claim 5, wherein, if the program cell is changed by said program cell selecting device, said displaying device displays the program table in which the channel of the changed and selected program cell is set as a leading display channel (Knowles, col. 20, ll. 60-67, where navigating the cursor beyond the bottom of the displayed program table causes the displaying device to display the next page of the program table with the changed and selected program cell (and associated channel) as a leading (first entry) display channel).

7. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman and Knowles as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Hama (Hama et al., US006230323B1).

With regard to claims 7 and 17, Klosterman and Knowles disclose a program guide displaying apparatus and corresponding method according to claims 5 and 15 further comprising a range setting device for setting the display time range (Klosterman, fig. 4(a), 410) wherein said displaying device extracts the program information within the display time range and displays the extracted program information as the program table (400, fig. 4(a)) (Klosterman, col. 8, ll. 1-9). However, Klosterman and Knowles fail to explicitly disclose a display channel range setting device.

In an analogous art, Hama discloses a range setting device (display channel setting) for setting the display channel range, wherein said displaying device extracts the program information within the display channel range set by said range setting device from among the program information obtained by said program information obtaining device and displays the extracted program information as the program table (col. 9, ll. 32-46), for the purpose of enabling the user to restrict the displayed program range to favorite channels (col. 9, ll. 40-42).

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Klosterman and Knowles to include range setting device for setting the display channel range, wherein said displaying device extracts the program information within the display channel range set by said range setting device from among the program information obtained by said program information obtaining device and displays the extracted program information as the program table, as taught by Hama, for the purpose of enabling the user to restrict the displayed program range to favorite channels in a program guide displaying system.

Art Unit: 2611

*Conclusion*

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2611

9. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

### **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

### **Certificate of Transmission**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Lambrecht whose telephone number is (571) 272-7297. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the primary examiner, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher M Lambrecht  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2611

CML

  
HAI TRAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER